

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradsmark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,973 03/06/2000		03/06/2000	CHIHIRO SAKAI	NSG-180US 6982	
25122	/590	12/20/2002			
RATNERPE	RESTIA		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 980			BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A		
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				BOLDLIN, LLILADLIII A	
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		/	•	1755	1,
				DATE MAILED: 12/20/2002	//

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/486,973	SAKAI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Elizabeth A. Bolden	1755						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status	0.45h 0.000							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 C								
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 9-11 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 9</u> is/are allowed.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 9</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 10</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 11</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		NI						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •							
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/486,973

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyamaet al., U.S. Patent 6,306,786.

Koyama et al. teach a soda lime silica glass which is batched with Na₂SO₄, Li₂SO₄, MgSO₄, CaSO₄, SrSO₄, and BaSO₄. See column 3 lines 59-61. Koyama et al. also teach that Li₂O is added in the glass from 2-15 weight percent. See column 4, lines 29-37. The reference teaches that Fe₂O₃, CoO, NiO, MnO, ZnO, Y₂O₃, La₂O₃, and CeO₂ are added to the glass for coloring. See column 5, lines 21-24.

Koyama et al. differs from the instant invention by not teaching examples sufficiently specific to anticipate the claim limitations of claims 1 and 10. Nor does the reference teach the use of the Li₂O or Li₂SO₄ additives for the suppression of the formation of nickel sulfide.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have batched a soda lime silica glass with Li₂O or Li₂SO₄ as suggested by Koyama et al. because the resultant batch would have the additive content of lithium sulfate or lithium oxide.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,973

Art Unit: 1755

Since the glass composition of Koyama et al. is obvious over claims 1 and 10, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the composition of Koyama et al. to exhibit the same nickel sulfide suppression properties as instantly claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4 and 9 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The previous rejection over Duncan, U.S. Patent 3,296,044, Janakirama-Rao, U.S. Patent 3,779,733, and Amrhein et al., U.S. Patent 4,270,945 have been withdrawn. The Applicant's arguments pertaining to Duncan, Janakirama-Rao, and Amrhein have been considered but are moot based on the applicant's amendment and the withdrawal of the rejections.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Bolden whose telephone number is 703-305-0124. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am to 6:00 pm with alternating Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,973

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

EAB December 16, 2002

DAVID SAMPLE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4